REPORT TO NTEP COMMITTEE* January 2000

Activity	1995	1996**	1997	1998	1999			
Number of Applications Received ¹	328	386	387	321	335			
Number of Mutual Recognition Applications Received	32	38	68	68	61			
Number of Type Evaluations Performed ²	253	300	316	311	250			
Number of Activities Assigned ³	449	574	652 598		528			
Number of CCs that became EFFECTIVE	260	310	299	253	338			
Number of CCs ISSUED	188	322	279	260	345			
Number of Requests WITHDRAWN	76	80	81	52	86			
Average Time (weeks) to Perform Activities for Successful ⁴ Type Evaluations								
"Date Assigned" to "Equipment Received"	10	10	8	11	8			
"Equipment Received" to "Type Evaluation Complete"	8	7	6	7	7			
Type Evaluation Complete" to "CC Effective"	5	6	2	4	8			
"CC Effective" to "To NIST"	19	16	14	9	12			
"To NIST" to "CC Issued"	8	7	9	8	6			
"Date Assigned" to "CC Issued"	55	43	44	36	47			

^{*} This report is designed to show the amount of activity in all the labs involved in the National Type Evaluation Program. In July 1998 a new database was put into operation. While all information from the old database was converted into the new database, some old records may be incomplete.

^{** 1996} figures may be inflated due to government furlough in 1995.

¹ 3 OIML Applications

² Beginning in 1994, if a device failed a type evaluation (i.e., an actual lab or field test), it was entered as a new type evaluation. Previous to 1994, multiple failures of the same device were still considered as a single type evaluation. A re-test is considered a new type evaluation.

³ Many type evaluations and applications require the assignment of multiple labs in order to accomplish *one* evaluation. In past years, one request was recorded as one laboratory doing the work regardless of how many labs were involved in the evaluation. Additionally, multiple tests may need to be performed in order to accomplish one evaluation. For example, the range of capacities of a load cell family may include testing one capacity in California, one by the Force Group at NIST, and the CC may be drafted by someone in the Office of Weights and Measures at NIST. Thus, three (3) activities were necessary for this particular load cell family to get a CC. Additionally, if a device fails type evaluation one (1) time and had to be re-tested, two (2) activities were necessary to result in one CC.

⁴ An evaluation in which the device does not fail at any point.

PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES EVALUATION

Activity	CA	MD	NY	ОН	FG	NIST	OTHER	TOTA			
Number of Applications Received								_			
1995	55	34	23	60	23	97	36	328			
1996	75	29	29	68	17	138	30	386 ¹			
1997	62	36	27	100	30	103	29	387			
1998	52	25	32	74	21	78	28	321			
1999	44	28	18	56	11	149	29	335			
Number of Type Evaluations ² Performed											
1995	56	49	34	73	12	3	26	253			
1996**	62	85	31	80	9	1	32	300			
1997	72	56	46	93	21		28	316			
1998	71	51	38	100	24	3	24	311			
1999	52	45	28	77	23	6	19	250			
Number of Paper Updates ³ Issued											
1995	1					55	2	58			
1996	2		1	1		90		94			
1997	11	2	3	3		76		95			
1998	5		2	12		59	1	79			
1999	12	1	1	2		133		149			
Number of Effective Certificates											
1995	49	12	16	58	15	87	23	260			
1996	49	22	13	49	18	124	35	310			
1997	51	15	18	66	17	111	21	299			
1998	31	13	10	82	17	76	24	253			
1999	53	23	12	52	17	158	23	338			
Number of Certificates Issued							•				
1995	37	7	8	36	7	78	15	188			
1996	59	18	18	73	22	110	22	322			
1997	42	23	19	66	10	86	33	279			
1998	37	14	10	79	18	73	29	260			
1999	56	10	13	57	24	162	23	345			

¹ Number may be inflated due to government furlough in December 1995.

² Type Evaluation indicates an actual lab or field test.

³ A paper update includes any activity that does not require testing, i.e, private labeling requests, error corrections, non-metrological changes to CC, etc.

^{**}There was a discrepancy in the manner in which the participating labs recorded data; the results, therefore, may be skewed.